

# Interview

by Michael Delias with Dr. Stefan Lanka

## Won measles virus process

**The root:** Dear Stefan, you are a molecular biologist, marine biologist and scientist and have won the measles virus trial with the scientific proof that there is no measles virus. When was that?

**Stefan Lanka:** I initiated the measles virus trial at the end of 2011 to prevent the introduction of compulsory measles vaccination. The process started in 2012 and ultimately lasted until early 2017.

The defeated plaintiff let the deadline expire to appeal to the Federal Constitutional Court against the decision of the Federal Supreme Court (BGH) of December 1, 2016. With this decision, the BGH rejected the plaintiff's appeal against the decision of the Stuttgart Higher Regional Court (OLG) of February 16, 2016.

## To the damage of the population...

**Stefan Lanka:** The OLG Stuttgart (Higher Regional Court) did not consider the scientific argumentation presented to the OLG from appraisals and from accomplished experiments, which disproved all existence assertions of the measles virus, to the damage of the population.

Also the OLG did not reject the complaint for formal reasons, as the plaintiff still claims until today. The OLG withdrew itself in the judgement reason on the fact that the judicially appointed expert testified that none of the submitted six publications contained a proof for the existence of a virus.



## Basis of existence of virology deprived by the court

**Stefan Lanka:** What is particularly explosive here is that one of the six publications presented represents the exclusive basis of both measles and the entire field of virology. The judgement of the OLG Stuttgart of 16.02.2016, confirmed by the BGH (Bundesgerichtshof – Federal Court), removes the basis from the entire virology, also from the corona hype, because all virologists refer to this only publication, of which the German jurisdiction states that it does not contain any proof of a virus. Now it is time to put this jurisprudence on viruses into practice.

In order to protect the court-appointed expert from criminal prosecution for two written false statements in his expert opinions, the OLG Stuttgart suppressed the recorded statement of the expert on the six publications submitted.

## Expert witness convicted by a judge in case of false testimony

**Stefan Lanka:** Under the pressure of clear questions from a young judge, the expert admitted his central false statement: Contrary to his written statements the six publications submitted by the plaintiff do not contain any control attempts, in order to prove whether the used method functions, whether the result is falsified or whether the desired and obtained result is caused! Thus the six publications presented in the process are worthless paper, which cannot and must not be called scientific. The rules of scientific work make the execution and exact documentation

of control experiments mandatory. The trial and the protocol of the first instance as well as the decision of the OLG Stuttgart have twice removed the basis for the claims of the entire virology. For this I refer to my contribution "The Federal High Court lets the faith in the viruses go down" from the Magazin WissenschaftPlus No. 2/2017, which is also online on wissenschaftplus.de observable.

### **Error of reasoning of the renowned virologists**

**The root:** Everywhere in the media one hears, particularly since Corona, of the most renowned virologists, like Dr. Drosten of the Charité or Professor Streeck from Heinsberg, how they make an allegedly living virus responsible for all possible diseases.

Why do virologists believe in viruses even though they do not exist in living tissue and cells?

**Stefan Lanka:** These and other virologists do not claim that viruses are alive, but rather that the biochemically dead viral genome strand (i.e. the virus) is capable of infection. They define, like all virologists, that a virus has no metabolism of its own and is biochemically dead. They all speak of the fact that gene fragments of viruses<sup>1</sup> are found everywhere (not only on doorknobs), which are not capable of infection. In their eyes, only the entire viral genome strand (the whole virus) is capable of infection, but a part of the virus (i.e. a gene snippet) does not. In doing so, they conceal their greatest professional secret that they have never found a complete viral genome strand (i.e. complete virus).

### **Virologists confirm: Viruses are biochemically dead!**

**Stefan Lanka:** Not only the above-mentioned virologists, but all virologists define that a virus does not have its own metabolism and is biochemically dead.

However, how something dead can develop the power to enter the organism through the hides, fasciae and leather skins of the organs, the lining of the vessels and against the mucus flow of the mucous membranes, in order to pass through the tough connective tissue mass (which surrounds all cells), is no longer an open question, but a refuted myth, which developed in our history.

### **Virology had already disproved itself in 1951**

**Stefan Lanka:** What the virologists have overlooked is that the theory<sup>2</sup> on which all biology and medicine is based, and from which the equally erroneous infection, immune, gene and cancer theories have inevitably developed, is not only not correct but has been disproved.

They have also overlooked the fact that medical virology disproved itself in 1951. And they overlooked the fact that a new idea of virology developed from 1952 onwards, the gene-strand virus idea, which, however, is based on a misinterpretation in bacteria and cannot be transferred to humans, animals or plants. See my article "Misinterpretation Virus" in the magazine WissenschaftPlus 1/2020.

**The root:** And until when did you also believe in the widespread virus hypothesis?

### **Misinterpreted viruses are meaningful mini sperm**

**Stefan Lanka:** I believed in this idea until I isolated and biochemically characterized a structure from the sea, which I misinterpreted as a HARMLESS virus. Afterwards I learned that the term "virus" must not be used under any circumstances, because it means something like a disease-causing poison (within the refuted cell theory), which the organism itself would produce.

Today, curious researchers know that biological life is created from those structures<sup>3</sup> that are created by self-organization. In bacteria, these structures are called phage<sup>4</sup>, but in the laboratory they are only formed when bacteria are separated and their biochemistry is impoverished. After a certain point in time (in a targeted process of metamorphosis) the entire bacterial biomass is transformed into many small structures of exactly the same structure.

These small structures have the function (like mini spermatozoa) to provide their nucleic acid 5 to those organisms that need it. These targeted and meaningful processes have been interpreted by the good-evil-glasses of cellular pathology as negative and as disease toxins (lat. virus).

These small structures are very easy to isolate, photograph and biochemically examine in the sense of isolation. Since 1952 unsuspecting young virologists (who did not know that and why the old virology had given up) BELIEVED that the viruses claimed in humans and animals look and are structured like the "phages" (mini sperm of bacteria).

### **Dying tissue does not transform into viruses**

**Stefan Lanka:** In 1954, a technique was proposed (one of the six publications in the Measles Virus Process) to detect the suspected viruses of humans, exactly as the phages of the bacteria were detected. They believed that when tissues died, they would turn into viruses - just as bacteria turn into phages. With the decisive difference that the phages were isolated and photographed in large numbers and in pure form each time and whose genetic strand of always the same length and always the same composition was and is represented as a whole. However, this is still not possible with the only suspected viruses.

The "virologists" (euphemism for confused scientists) only mentally assemble a model of a virus from short fragments of decayed tissues and cells, which in reality does not exist (more detailed consideration follows below).

### **Virologists refuse control experiments**

**Stefan Lanka:** Because this type of virologists still disregard the fundamental rules of scientific work and refuse to carry out control experiments, they have not noticed that the tissues and cells in the test tube die not because of a suspected virus, but because of unintentional starvation and poisoning in the procedure that precedes every apparent "infection".

Therefore, genetic virus tests only detect the body's own sequences. Since the test only shows "positive" if there are enough gene snippets in the sample quantity to be tested, this explains why there are also negative test results. It is clear that the body releases more tissue material and thus gene fragments, especially during inflammatory processes, than during health or when the body does not release anything at all during certain moments of healing. You only have to increase the amount of sample<sup>6</sup> and every human being, every animal and probably even every plant will test positive. In my contribution "Misinterpretation Virus. Part II" (see WiPlus 2/2020) I call for honest scientists, bioinformaticians and laboratory technicians to finally carry out and publish these control experiments which have never been carried out or published. The evidence here has been presented to the OLG Stuttgart within the measles virus trial, but ignored.

Many scientists work unscientifically!

**The root:** What does honest scientific work mean to you besides performing

Control experiments, which are missing in many of the scientists who hold doctorates and work for industry?

**Stefan Lanka:** The most important thing is to constantly check your own assumptions and those of others. But most people don't do that, because otherwise they would dismiss themselves. The mechanization that is going on here was recognized and published by the exceptional genius Eugen Rosenstock-Huessy in 1956. I refer to this and quote him constantly, among other things in the series of articles no. 1 to 3/2019 in the magazine WissenschaftPlus, which you, dear Michael, were so enthusiastic about and about which you had reported in the root 04/2019 of your article.

**The root:** One reads more and more that scientists financed by the industry deliver scientific work and statistics, in order to correspond to the expectations of their clients. The results are distorted statistics on measles/corona/flu virus-infected/dead etc. on a continuous basis. Why are there no independent supervisory authority that monitor the results of the studies and the working methods?

**Stefan Lanka:** I cannot answer this question here due to lack of space.

### **Citizen control over science & politics**

**Stefan Lanka:** Just this much: from the democratic point of view, politics and science can only function if the client, i.e. every citizen, knows what is going on, i.e. controls the processes and the representatives. We are a long way from this idea and at the moment I think that this can only be realized through a network of self-organizing groups.

The only ones that have successfully organized themselves so far are first of all groups that obviously violate their given goals and do not realize them. And secondly, the

profit optimizers organized in companies and associations who consistently approach and achieve their (public and non-public) given goals. The company Geox has apparently recognized in a positive sense this: It founds a new company each time the old one has reached the number of 500 employees. This is obviously the number of people who can still maintain an overview, trust in each other and, based on this, work systematically and biotically.

The Prince of Liechtenstein has recognized this in his book "The State in the Third Millennium". He calls for the self-administration of all areas of the State (where possible) in small structures, because the superordinate structures always lose the overview and their administration is inefficient and always too expensive.

**The root:** In your opinion, there are certain events in scientific history that have led to certain "scientific" speculations being elevated to scientific facts, including the proof of the existence of viruses, although nothing has been proven.

**Stefan Lanka:** For me it was and is always important to recognize the major lines of development and mechanisms, how and why something developed. I think that I have succeeded in doing so with regard to our "western" medicine. See my article "Misinterpretation of the virus" in the magazine WissenschaftPlus 1/2020, and I refer in this context to an article on Eugen-Rosenstock-Huessy by Siegfried Mohr in WissenschaftPlus 2/2020 entitled "The science of time", in which the importance of recognizing historical connections is clearly worked out.

**The root:** All the virologists and the broad science agree that a virus could be isolated, even if this is not the case as we have seen above. Can you go into this in more detail again?

## **Virologists kill tissue unnoticed in the laboratory**

**Stefan Lanka:** Virologists do not use the word "isolation" in the sense of the word isolation and become suspiciously nervous when asked about it. By "isolation" they understand the creation of an effect in the laboratory, which they simultaneously call

- a) Infection
- b) Evidence of the presence of a virus
- c) Proof of its propagation
- d) Interpret evidence of the destructive power of the virus.

In reality they kill unnoticed and unconsciously tissues and cells in the laboratory - by starvation and poisoning, see my article "Misinterpretation Virus" in the magazine WissenschaftPlus 1/2020.

**The root:** Virologists believe that dying tissues and cells are completely transformed into viruses. Therefore, they call the death of tissues and cells also the reproduction of viruses. Am I right in thinking that every death of tissue and cell material inevitably leads to the production of viruses, i.e. cell waste - this is in the nature of the build-up and decomposition processes of the organism?

## **Viruses cannot multiply**

**Stefan Lanka:** To explain this, I first have to talk about bacteria and phages. The metamorphosis, the transformation of bacteria into phages, cannot be called cell death, but is a very specific and helpful process. This observation of the transformation of bacteria into phages was made in 1954 on tissues of humans and animals: It was believed that tissues turn into viruses when they die within an infection experiment. All other scientists, cell biologists and others do not assume that tissues or cells that die would turn into viruses in the process. On the contrary, they are studying the dying in

order to understand how it happens in the body. They then refer to the same processes that virologists misinterpret as virus formation, as coordinated cell death (= apoptosis) or as autophagy (recycling).

In humans and animals, the constant build-up and decomposition takes place silently and quietly, as tissues and cells are broken down into small components on site and these are then reprocessed for further use in the designated organs<sup>7</sup>, such as the liver.

The term viruses may no longer be used in the future. It is misleading, full of misinterpretations and originates from the refuted good-evil-thinkin, which is used by people who cannot or do not want to interpret the complexity differently.

**The root:** The virus, no matter what kind, is said to have an independence and activity of its own that does not exist.

## **Viral genetic material strands constructed purely in thought**

**Stefan Lanka:** Yes, the whole concept is erroneous and cannot be upheld by alternative hypotheses. Because the "virologists" have believed since 1954 that dying tissue is transformed into viral material in so-called "infectious experiments", they also interpret the short pieces of nucleic acid as components of their suspected viruses. From these nucleic acid fragments<sup>8</sup> they construct the viral genetic material strands which do not exist in reality. However, since sequence analysts find these supposedly viral sequences within the sequences of human chromosomes when analyzing them, they claim that more than 50% of our chromosomes consist of virus genes.

## **Exaggerated and invented killer property of "viruses"**

**The root:** According to your explanations so far, it is becoming more and more

understandable why the pharmaceutical industry, physicians, virologists, politicians and authorities ascribe a dangerous killer property to the hypothetical viruses, which does not even exist, right?

**Stefan Lanka:** Yes, and on the one hand they do this because they think that they are making themselves important and to justify their actions. And because medicine has become a huge economic enterprise that is forced to make a profit and is thus forced to constantly exaggerate.

Ivan Illich pointed out this development and danger of the compulsion to exaggerate, which will ultimately kill everyone and everything, in 1976 in his book "The Nemesis of Medicine". I had a very enlightening encounter with him in 1995, which I reported on in our first book on the subject of vaccination and in the magazine WissenschaftPlus. The author Seamus O'Mahony, who wrote the important book "Can Medicine be cured?" in 2019, refers very centrally to Ivan Illich and comes to the conclusion that medicine cannot heal itself from a generic force and is increasingly destructive. His starting point is the medicine of substances with which symptoms are suppressed.

About the other "medicine<sup>9</sup>" he says that it never had a chance to be applied.

**The root:** How do you think it is possible to make the new scientific understanding of viruses and bacteria (the latter are independent) common to the general public, but at such a speed that it will not take 100 years?

### **Corona as a chance, as a catalyst for change**

**Stefan Lanka:** The answer is to do the right thing at the right time, see the article about Rosenstock-Huessy in the current issue of WissenschaftPlus 2/2020 and my article "Misinterpretation Virus Part II. From the

beginning and end of the Corona crisis" therein. This article is also freely available on our website [wissenschaftplus.de](http://wissenschaftplus.de). The contribution has the power (together with the magnitude of the Corona crisis, which has shaken and endangered almost the entire humanity) to cause a fast learning, which will not last 100 years. Seen in this light, Corona is an opportunity for all people and for all areas, not only in the fields of viruses, medicine, politics and economy.

### **Virus theory for sales reasons**

**The root:** I believe that the pharmaceutical industry wants to maintain the virus theory as it has been believed since time immemorial, otherwise it would lose billions in sales (drug/vaccine sales losses). In order to convey a new understanding of viruses, the first step would be to print and apply new biology textbooks and new course content for medical/biology/pharmacy students with the new "virus" knowledge.

I think that only the majority of people will understand through self-awareness that viruses in the conventional sense do not exist. Viruses, bacteria or parasites are not to blame for the diseases, because the disease itself is the self-healing effort of the immune system and/or the house cleaning activity of an organism, which accumulated cinders over decades, from which it could not free itself for lack of therapeutic fasting, vital food nutrition, movement, sunshine etc. any longer. Are you of a similar opinion?

**Stefan Lanka:** Before Corona I also thought that the turnaround can only come slowly and from below. Now the momentum of Corona has forced me to study all decisive details again and to go public with it. The result is the article "Misinterpretation Virus Part II" (see SciencePlus 2/2020), which, together with the actions that build on it and ensue, actually has the power to generate a faster leap in knowledge than I thought.

### Between panic and deeper understanding

**Stefan Lanka:** Humanity is only faced with the alternative of "self-destruction through fear and madness" or "deeper understanding including other levels and insights of causes and interactions" and acting accordingly. I think the majority of people feel that there is something fundamentally wrong with Corona, medicine and politics. Therefore I ask the readers to check the terms like "immune system" etc. in the future, so that the old good-evil thinking is not carried into the future: I see the importance of nutrition, especially that of fasting - but within a well-founded, individual system of knowledge of psychosomatics, for which Dr. Hamer laid the essential foundation. We have to take care not to establish a new dogmatism, because many "spiritual" as well as "material" aspects still have to be worked into this developing system of knowledge, see your contribution in the root 04/19.

The most important thing I learned from a female doctor<sup>10</sup> is that we always have to consider that our ideas may be outdated as early as tomorrow. That's why I always say in my lectures and seminars that the better answer to a question will always come in the future and that the one you have asked is only the second best. As humans we are participants of the life and therefore we are missing there simply the overview. Therefore, modesty is as much a part of science as the constant questioning.

### Viruses contained in vaccines

**The root:** Dear Stefan, let's get back to the virus theory, which is the basis of vaccination. The vaccines used for measles, for example, are called "living" vaccines, although they are anything but living viruses. How are "live" vaccines (attenuated vaccines) constructed and how are they supposed to simulate viruses when viruses with independent infection activity do not exist at all?

### "Live" and "dead" vaccines

**Stefan Lanka:** Now I understand how you came up with the term "living" viruses. When tissues die in the laboratory during an "infection attempt" in the course of unintentional starvation and poisoning, the people involved believe that these tissues would have turned into viruses or released viruses.

Since the vaccine manufacturers (and their virologists) assume that the mass of dead tissue (i.e. their alleged viruses) that they use as a vaccine is capable of infection, they speak of a "live vaccine". They believe that the vaccine virus is still infectious but attenuated.

In contrast, the components of alleged viruses are called "dead vaccines" if they are not infectious or if the decomposing tissue is protected from further decomposition by "preservatives" such as formaldehyde during an infection attempt, in order to use it as a "dead vaccine" afterwards, e.g. for polio.

So: The idea of pathogenic viruses is dead and so is that of vaccination, which is why the question of "dead" or "alive" is not only misleading, but as wrong as the whole concept.

**The root:** And secondly, we know that such a "live" vaccine virus cannot occur in nature, so it has nothing comparable to a wild pathogen, right?

### Domestication of wild germs

**Stefan Lanka:** The idea of a wild type is not that of a particularly wild virus, but that the virus has just been spat out fresh from the hell of nature and must first be domesticated by "cultivation" in the laboratory in order to be made accessible for vaccination - science fiction, that is. Here also the particularly funny but profound contribution of Jochen Schamal

"Little Vampire lessons" in the current issue of WissenschaftPlus 2/2020 is to be mentioned.

### **Deadly vaccines played down**

**The root:** A blind man sees that the composition of the vaccines is cruel. But many people do not know that such a vaccine means certain death in children if it is accidentally injected into a vein instead of the muscle. Why then do government authorities refer to vaccine

substances as safe and harmless, although the authorities themselves have allegedly "tested" and approved the vaccines?

**Stefan Lanka:** The trick used by the authorities is very simple: they define the many toxic substances as adjuvants of the actual medical substance - the supposed proteins of the supposed viruses. Because of this untenable act of definition alone, these potent poisons are not subject to the strict drug law.

### **Vaccines without adjuvants ineffective**

How unfounded even this claim of protection is, can be seen from the textbook statement that vaccines without their auxiliary substances are without effect.

**The root:** A real and complete virus does not appear in the entire "scientific" literature. How would a real, complete virus with which characteristics have to look like in your eyes to pass for you as a killer virus? Rather in the direction of Borrelia, which consciously search for a host?

**Stefan Lanka:** If you know biology, it is unthinkable to think of a virus and even less of a killer virus. The virologists have the phages (of the bacteria) as a model for their suspected viruses, but these are mini spermatozoa with their own energy supply that actively do something.

The virologists' idea of a disease producing, enveloped or unenveloped genetic strand is

based on a crazy and dangerous model of biology and life. This idea was postulated in 1858 by Rudolf Virchow<sup>11</sup> in an act of desperation, among other things to reduce a huge pile of debts and to please his patrons and mentors.

It is very important to me to say that all claims of the existence of disease-causing bacteria are also wrong and dangerous. An acquaintance has, without telling me about it, been diagnosed with borrelia diagnosis by a guru of the alternative scene and as a result has been brought to the edge of viability by fear and broad-spectrum antibiotics. Before the idea of viruses was invented, bacteria were the universal villains.

### **Corona virus consensus finding a few mouse clicks**

**The root:** The process of finding a consensus on what belongs to the measles virus and what does not, took years - ten years. How is it possible that with the allegedly new corona virus Sars-CoV-2, this consensus-building process only took a few mouse clicks? And on what scientific basis?

**Stefan Lanka:** It is Prof. Christian Drosten from the Charité, who revolutionized bioinformatics in virology and completely replaced laboratory work.

In 2003, during the SARS panic, which he was a major contributor to, he was able to offer a test for this fiction just two days after the claim that the genetic strand of the allegedly new SARS virus had been reconstructed. He was substantially involved in the swine flu and ZIKA<sup>12</sup> terror and became faster and faster than his shadow...

### **...Drosten faster than Chinese epidemic authority**

**Stefan Lanka:** Even before the virologists of the Chinese Epidemics Authority agreed on the composition of the genetic make-up of the

virus, which is still only "suspected" today, Christian D. had already developed his test and made it available to the WHO on January 17, 2020. With his action, a few mouse clicks, he globalized the dangerous but local mass panic caused by an ophthalmologist in Wuhan. See my article "Misinterpretation Virus Part II: The beginning and end of the Corona crisis" in the magazine WissenschaftPlus 2/2020, which can also be freely distributed on our website [wissenschaftplus.de](http://wissenschaftplus.de).

### **Bioinformaticians do not care about the gene sequence source**

**Stefan Lanka:** In constructing the idea of the genetic strands of the flu virus, chicken embryos were mechanically injured and poisoned and a model was painstakingly created by hand from the short nucleic acid sequences of the dying tissue. Today, this is done by computer programs into which the sequences are entered that the virologists then output as viral. Where these sequences come from is irrelevant to the bioinformaticians who create the genomes of the fictitious viruses by "alignment". Incidentally, Erwin Chargaff warned against this development as early as 1976 in a book entitled "The Fire of Heraclitus".

**The root:** Now the mental construction of the "viral genome strand" still comes into play during the Corona-Virus "development".

**Stefan Lanka:** In the construction of the current corona virus (SARS-CoV-2), at first only so-called gene sequences from a lung lavage were used. After the sequence data of very short pieces were laboriously tortured by several programs, a complete genetic strand of a new virus was proposed on the basis of these "sequence data". This proposal was confirmed and made a general model after this laborious construction was repeated with the "gene sequences" from the lungs of three other people.

### **Unsustainable virus model with artificial gene sequences**

**Stefan Lanka:** As actual proof of the existence of a new virus, those involved define it as "cultivable". For this purpose tissues are killed in the laboratory by (unintentional) starvation and poisoning. And because a little "infected" material is added to this process, the participants believe that the tissue will turn into viruses.

Of course, they do not wonder why, unlike the phages, billions of viruses cannot be seen, isolated and their genetic strands isolated intact. So they begin to reconstruct the assumed large "genome" of the assumed virus from the short existing gene sequence pieces. With the difference that this act of cultivation leaves large gaps in the reconstruction of the entire genome strand. These are laboriously filled by producing artificial gene sequences to fill the gaps in the model. This is self-deception within the "virological" self-deception.

**The root:** Why do Chinese scientists claim that the China Corona Virus 2019 comes from poisonous snakes?

### **Corona gene sequences in every living being**

**Stefan Lanka:** They claim this because they find sequences in snakes that also appear in the corona model. But what they fail to mention is that the same sequences appear in every living being from which corona and all the other viral genetic strands were constructed purely in thought. This explains why papayas are also tested "positive". This is an important message of Corona: Everything and everyone is positive!

**The root:** And what exactly is the situation with virus tests?

**Stefan Lanka:** If something longer is constructed from short nucleic acid

sequences, which occur in every organism, which does not exist, it is clear that with the so-called genetic detection methods for viruses (PCR tests), which only detect the presence of very short sequences, everything and everyone, including the tree, can be tested "positive". This is nothing but dangerous science fiction in action.

Short DNA<sup>5</sup> sequences are present in every organism, even in a tree or papaya.

In fact, these short sequences<sup>13</sup> occur everywhere. But a viral genome (i.e. a virus) is defined as something longer that consists of several "genes". In the first construction of a human viral genome, the "wirrologists" (wordplay = confusologists) oriented themselves to the genome of the phage. A "virus" needs several genes to form its alleged envelope proteins and enzymes. With the PCR method only small pieces can be detected and these do not tell (if one assumes the existence of viruses) whether there are only virus fragments (after an "immune attack"), only defective viruses or harmless viruses or whether the amount of viruses is too small to cause a massacre. But since there are no viruses at all, only as a model, the whole thing falls through anyway.

### **Human fetal serum for vaccine development?**

**The root:** I have read that sera from aborted human fetuses can be contained in vaccines.

**Stefan Lanka:** What was actually done is that for infection experiments, tissue and sera (blood without solid blood cells) were obtained from human fetuses. What I can imagine is that a financially strong and completely stupid public demands such tissues and sera for their vaccines and other medical products - and still receives them, following the motto "you get what you pay for".

### **Animal fetal serum in vaccines**

**Stefan Lanka:** However, all mass vaccines are produced using fetal sera from cattle and mainly from kidney tissue from monkeys or tissue from other animals.

**The root:** Can such an animal foetal serum, if used in vaccines, lead to any reactions?

**Stefan Lanka:** According to my current knowledge, a substance only leads to an allergy/ autoimmune disease if the vaccination triggers a "biological conflict", i.e. an event that is perceived as existentially threatening and cannot be resolved in a timely manner. I recommend my article on vaccination damage and the development of autism in the 3/2016 issue of WiPlus.

### **Vaccines & viruses grown in the laboratory**

**The root:** I am becoming more and more aware that "virus" and vaccine are identical, both were grown in a laboratory, right?

**Stefan Lanka:** Yes, with the very important remark that "viruses" are fantasies, and that the remains of the tissue that has died in the test tube are not "viruses" but decomposed tissue.

By the way, the tissues can only be prevented from dying and decaying in the laboratory for a few days and only by using fetal serum. This is not possible with the serum of adult humans and animals.

For clarification:

When organs are removed from an organism, they die quickly and decompose, even if they are cooled. If individual organ tissues are removed from organs in order to study "life" in the laboratory or to "isolate", multiply or "cultivate" viruses in the laboratory, these tissues die off even faster and decompose even faster.

### **Violently disrupted tissue = cell cultures**

**Stefan Lanka:** In order to allegedly work with cells in the laboratory, the tissues, which are previously removed from organs (of a foetus, for example), are separated by force (biochemically by digestive enzymes and mechanically). Then those involved believe that they are working with cells and refer to the forcibly separated tissues as "cell cultures". They must constantly use force to prevent these "cells" from fusing back into tissue. Without the many substances from the fetal tissue, but especially the high concentration of "pi-water<sup>14</sup>", the tissues in the test tube and the isolated tissues would die off even faster.

Now the fetal serum is extracted from the "cells" in the test tube as preparation for the subsequent "infection experiment". As a consequence, these tissue units try to unite even faster than usual, but die when "flowing together".

### **The alleged cultivation of the virus**

**Stefan Lanka:** This confluence is known as giant cell formation and as a "cytopathic effect". This result of many violent and insane steps is interpreted as central proof for the "presence", isolation, multiplication etc. of the suspected virus. The participants then claim that they have succeeded in cultivating the virus.

In order to cover the constantly increasing demand for fetal sera<sup>15</sup>, 2 million pregnant cows are opened unanaesthetized every year, in which the unanaesthetized fetus is opened and its fetal blood is taken from the beating heart. If one would remove the fetus from the dam for this, one could "win" much less serum. If the mother and/or the fetuses were anaesthetized, the anaesthetics would rapidly decompose the fetal serum, since the anaesthetics cannot be removed from the serum. The fetal serum is made from such a type of collected blood. Of course, for the sake

of profit optimization, adulteration is done just like with wine. To save money, the laboratory technicians buy cheap serum, which they all know is even more contaminated than the expensive serum.

Only with the help of these fetal sera is it possible to produce vaccines. Parts of these sera, which can never be sterile, are implanted in us in the form of vaccines.

I have been surprised for a long time that this well-known fact is not discussed in the raw food or vegan scene. Raw food and veganism exclude vaccination, the extraction of fetal seeds and cell culture experiments.

### **Decomposition processes as biology/medicine basis**

**Stefan Lanka:** We have the situation not only in vaccination, but in the entire field of biology and medicine that processes of dying and decay in the test tube are misinterpreted as models of life. And this is only one of several points why biologists and physicians in the system of the prevailing opinion of cellular pathology since 1858 are not able to make reasonable and helpful statements about life, health, illness and recovery.

**The root:** In 1951/52, virology had completely disproved itself and given up. For what reason?

### **Virology disproved twice**

**Stefan Lanka:** For two reasons:

Firstly, control experiments were carried out which proved that what used to be interpreted as viruses (allegedly dangerous proteins, as is still the case today with some bacteria despite better knowledge) is also released during the decomposition of completely healthy organisms or their organs. And that nothing could be seen or found in the electron microscope.

Secondly, because it became known that proteins cannot reproduce themselves. Even

before 1952, it was a central component of virology that the dangerous viral protein (= virus, disease poison) could allegedly reproduce itself. But in 1952 it was recognized that nucleic acid is responsible for the multiplication of proteins. From then on, young chemists believed that viruses were dangerous pieces of genetic material. These chemists had no idea about biology, medicine and the fact that medical virology had given up.

This cluelessness continues to this day and led to the Corona crisis. Today's virologists are simply not surprised that they do not find any viruses or viral genetic strands. They are also not surprised that they constantly and always assemble the genomes of the viruses (the genetic strands of the viruses) from short pieces and then present them as reality.

### Ending the Corona Crisis Democratically

Nowhere in biology and medicine is it as clear as in virology that a pure thought construct is presented as an existing fact. If the majority of the population has understood this, the Corona crisis ends in a purely democratic way. Therefore, please distribute this interview virally.

### Comments on the interview

- <sup>1</sup> gene snippet of the viruses=virus fragments
- <sup>2</sup> The theory of cellular pathology from 1858
- <sup>3</sup> Of which I discovered one, isolated and characterized it, and which were predicted by Günter Enderlein.
- <sup>4</sup> bacteriophages
- <sup>5</sup> DNS (DNA)=The central biomolecule of the chromosomes, on which informational coarse information is found for about 10% of our proteins, formerly known as genes.
- <sup>6</sup> Regardless of the type, whether smears, blood, mucus, seeds, biopsy material, etc.
- <sup>7</sup> If organs are present in which functions are concentrated that otherwise occur in the

tissue or in the cells of "simple" organisms all at the same time and next to each other, there are completely different mechanisms of internal physical or internal organic recycling.

<sup>8</sup> Gene snippets of DNA

<sup>9</sup> I prefer to call it a different view or simply biology, which I am committed to spreading, in which health results from the harmony within myself and with my environment.

<sup>10</sup> Besides her therapeutic work she has a teaching position for Chinese Medicine.

<sup>11</sup> Article "Virchow - a strategist of power", WiPlus edition 05/2015, report can be read online, see [wissenschaftplus.de/uploads/article/Wissenschaftplus\\_Mohr\\_Virchow.pdf](http://wissenschaftplus.de/uploads/article/Wissenschaftplus_Mohr_Virchow.pdf)

<sup>12</sup> The claim of the existence of ZIKA viruses goes back to 1947, when viruses were defined differently than after 1952, and is named after a forest in Entebbe, Uganda, where monkeys were tortured for "infection attempts".

<sup>13</sup> sequences below a length of 22 nucleotides (building blocks of DNA/RNA) occur in each mammal, are not virus-specific and are nevertheless used in the construction of the "viral genome".

<sup>14</sup> Alias The original substance of which we are made. See my article on PI water: [www.wissenschaftplus.de/uploads/article/MW\\_PI-Wasser\\_Lanka2.pdf](http://www.wissenschaftplus.de/uploads/article/MW_PI-Wasser_Lanka2.pdf) and the article by Michael Delias in "Die Wurzel 4/19"

<sup>15</sup> Even "meat" is already being bred for consumption in the laboratory and suggests that it is possible without animals.